Full Annual Education Report

School-Level Student Assessment Data for Monroe ISD, Monroe ISD - District created from ISD, Monroe County Transition Center

Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Student	School	% Students	State %	District %	School %	%	%	% Partially	% Not
Group	Year	Tested	Students	Students	Students	Advanced	Proficient	Proficient	Proficient
_			Proficient	Proficient	Proficient	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	(Level 4)
No records to	o display.			•	•				

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	
No records to	o display.							

MI-Access

Functional Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics								
Grade: 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	71.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	75.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	78.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science								
Grade: 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	70.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	73%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	78.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Supported Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to	display.							

Participation

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to	display.							

MEAP-Access

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
No records to	display.							

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting for Monroe ISD, Monroe ISD - District created from ISD, Monroe County Transition Center

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	101.9%	12.1%
Mathematics	101.9%	8.6%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
	<30	<30

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
American Indian or Alaska Native		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%
Mathematics	99%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander State		
	99.5%	96.8%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
White		
State		
State English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
	99.4% 99.3%	95.3% 95.1%
English Language Arts / Reading		
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics		
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics District	99.3%	95.1%
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics District English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	95.1%
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics District English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
Limited English Proficient		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
Students with Disabilities State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	12.1%
Mathematics	100%	8.6%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
		-

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took pa * AYP Targets (Annual Measurable Objectives)		EAP/MME/MI-Access.
(Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
All Students		
State		
	75.39%	
School		
	<10	
Black or African American		

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
State
56.59%
American Indian or Alaska Native
State
65%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander
State
84.47%
Hispanic or Latino
State
59.94%
White
State

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
	81.85%	
School		
	<10	
Multiracial		
State		
	71.12%	
Limited English Proficient		
State		
	65.51%	
Students with Disabilities		
State		
	57.61%	
School		
	<10	

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
	59.8%	
	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
All Students		
State		
	94.7%	
Black or African American		
State		
	91%	
American Indian or Alaska Native		
State		
	93.7%	

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)						
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander						
State						
96.5%						
Hispanic or Latino						
State						
94.1%						
White						
State						
95.7%						
Multiracial						
State						
94.8%						
Limited English Proficient						

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
State		
	94.6%	
Students with Disabilities		
State		
	93.5%	
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
	94.8%	

* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

** More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link: <u>http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709_22875---,00.html</u>

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Monroe ISD, Monroe ISD - District created from ISD, Monroe County Transition Center

School AYP Status

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
No		Not Met	Not Met	No Grade	N/A	0

December, 2009 School-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Monroe ISD, Monroe ISD - District created from ISD, Monroe County Transition Center

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional	0	1	3	0
Qualifications of All				
Public Elementary and				
Secondary School				
Teachers in the School				
Professional Qualification	ns are defined by the State a	and may include inforn	nation such as the degree	s of public school teachers (e.g.,
percentage of to	eachers with Bachelors Deg	grees or Masters Degre	es) or the percentage of t	fully certified teachers
Percentage of Public Elem	entary and Secondary Scho	ool Teachers 0%		
in the School with Emerge	ency Certification			
			School A	ggregate
	mic Subject Elementary an			
School Classes not Taught	t by Highly Qualified Teach	hers		

Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male Female	50 50	22 22	41 45	30 29	7 4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 56 ‡		47 40 ‡	16 40 ‡	1 9 ‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	14	43	37	6
Black	20	52	39	9	0
Hispanic	5	29	51	19	1
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	13	32	36	19
American Indian	1	+ +	*	‡	+ +
Unclassified	1	+	÷ +	÷	÷.
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	42 19	39 44	17 31	2 6
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	48 21	40 43	11 31	1 5

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male Female	51 49	31 33	37 38	24 24	8 5
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	38 62 ‡	50 21 ‡	37 38 ‡	12 31 ‡	1 10 ‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	23	40	29	8
Black	18	68	27	4	1
Hispanic	4	38	45	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	11	30	31	28
American Indian	1	+ +	÷	÷ ÷	+ +
Unclassified	÷ ÷	÷ •	÷	÷ ÷	÷
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	75 27	22 39	2 27	1 7
00	2 98	58 32	32 37	10 24	0 7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male Female	50 50	39 32	35 34	21 26	5 8
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 57 #		33 36 ‡	13 31 ‡	2 10 ‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	28	36	28	8
Black	19	65	26	7	1
Hispanic	5	49	34	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	21	37	25	17
American Indian	1	÷	÷	÷ ÷	+ +
Unclassified	1	÷	÷	÷ ÷	÷ •
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	66 32	24 36	8 25	3 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	65 35	26 35	9 24	1 7

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male Female	51 49	33 23	42 41	23 32	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	37 62 ‡	44 18 ‡	41 42 ‡	14 36 ‡	1 4 ‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	21	32	32	3
Black	18	54	37	9	#
Hispanic	4	40	34	24	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	* +	* +	* +	* +
American Indian	1	÷.	‡	÷.	‡
Unclassified	1	÷.	÷.	⁺	÷ ÷
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	73 23	22 43	4 30	# 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	60 27	33 42	8 28	# 3

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

	Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4		Math Reading	82.08 72.05	2.771 2.592	81.98 72.01			3.063 3.53
8		Math Reading	76.39 70.72	2.561 3.239	76.21 70.46			4.12 4.505